

Short note on the perturbation of operators with dyadic products *

Attila Andai[†]

RIKEN, BSI, Amari Research Unit
2-1, Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan.

October 7, 2008

Abstract

In this paper we use abstract vector spaces and their duals without any canonical basis. Some of our results can be extended to infinite dimensional vector spaces too, but here we consider only finite dimensional spaces. We focus on a general perturbation problem. Assume that $B : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear operator, which is perturbed to $B' = B + Q$. We examine the question how the determinant and the inverse change, because of this perturbation. In our approach the operator Q is given as a sum of dyadic products $Q = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \otimes p_i$, where $v_i \in V$ and $p_i \in V^*$. In this paper we derive an m -th order ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) approximation formula for $\det B'$ and $(B')^{-1}$, which gives the exact result if $m \geq k$.

Introduction

In this paper we use abstract vector spaces and their duals without any canonical basis. Our notations follow the classical linear algebraic notations, for details see for example [1]. We assume that V is a real or complex vector space and the maps between vector spaces are linear. Some of our results can be extended to infinite dimensional vector spaces too, but here we consider only finite dimensional spaces.

We focus on a general perturbation problem. Assume that $B : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear operator, which is perturbed to $B' = B + Q$. We examine the question how the determinant and the inverse change, because of this perturbation. One natural approximation is given by the Taylor expansion, but that process requires norm on the vector space, and the Taylor polynomials do not give the exact result when the series is cut within a finite number of terms. In our approach the operator Q is given as a sum of dyadic products

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \otimes p_i,$$

where $v_i \in V$ and $p_i \in V^*$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$; moreover we can assume that $k \leq \dim V$. In this paper we derive an m -th order ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) approximation formula for $\det B'$ and $(B')^{-1}$, which gives the exact result if $m \geq k$.

*keywords: perturbation, dyadic product, inverse operator; MSC: 15A09, 15A15

[†]andaia@math.bme.hu

1 On the inverse of perturbed operators

If $A : V \times V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bilinear map, then there exists a unique $\kappa(A) : V \rightarrow V$ map such that

$$p(\kappa(A)x) = A(x, p) \quad \forall (x, p) \in V \times V^*.$$

We define the map $\kappa : \text{Lin}(V \times V^*, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Lin}(V, V)$, which is an isomorphism.

Definition 1. Let V be a vector space, such that $\dim V = n \geq 2$. For given vectors $z_1, \dots, z_k \in V$ and covectors $p_1, \dots, p_k \in V^*$, where $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ define the map

$$\Phi_{z_1, \dots, z_k}^{p_1, \dots, p_k} : V \times V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (v, q) \mapsto (q \wedge p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k)(v, z_1, \dots, z_k). \quad (1)$$

We have $\kappa(\Phi_{z_1, \dots, z_k}^{p_1, \dots, p_k}) \in \text{Lin}(V, V)$, and we introduce the symbol

$$\boxed{\square}_{i=1}^k(z_i, p_i) = (z_1, p_1) \boxed{\square}(z_2, p_2) \dots \boxed{\square}(z_k, p_k) = \kappa\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{z_1, \dots, z_k}^{p_1, \dots, p_k}\right). \quad (2)$$

It is obvious from the definition that if $(z_i)_{i=1, \dots, k}$ or $(p_i)_{i=1, \dots, k}$ are not linearly independent, then

$$\boxed{\square}_{i=1}^k(z_i, p_i) = 0$$

and if π, π' are permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$ then we have

$$\boxed{\square}_{i=1}^k(z_{\pi(i)}, p_{\pi'(i)}) = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\pi) \text{sgn}(\pi')} \boxed{\square}_{i=1}^k(z_i, p_i).$$

Moreover if $v \in V$ and $q \in V^*$ then the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} q \left[\left(\boxed{\square}_{i=1}^k(z_i, p_i) \right) (v) \right] &= (q \wedge p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k)(v, z_1, \dots, z_k) \\ \left(\boxed{\square}_{i=1}^k(z_i, p_i) \right) (v) &= v(p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k)(z_1, \dots, z_k) - \sum_{i=1}^k z_i(p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k)(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, v, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned}$$

hold.

Now we show how one can compute the determinant and the inverse of the perturbed identity operator.

Theorem 1. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space, $\dim V = n$ and $(u_i)_{i=1, \dots, k}$ a family of vectors and $(p_i)_{i=1, \dots, k}$ a family of covectors. Define the linear map

$$A = \text{id}_V + \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \otimes p_i. \quad (3)$$

We have for the determinant of A

$$\det A = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\min(n, k)} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq k} \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) (u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}) \quad (4)$$

and if $\det A \neq 0$, then we have for the inverse of A

$$A^{-1} \det A = \text{id}_V + \sum_{i=1}^{\min(n-1, k)} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq k} \boxed{\square}_{l=1}^i(u_{j_l}, p_{j_l}), \quad (5)$$

which can be written in the following form: if $x \in V$ and $q \in V^*$ then

$$q(A^{-1}x) \det A = q(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\min(n-1,k)} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq k} \left(q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right) (x, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}). \quad (6)$$

Proof. To prove Equation (4), we assume that the vectors $(u_i)_{i=1,\dots,k}$ are linearly independent and we complete it with elements $(u_i)_{i=k+1,\dots,n}$ to get a basis in V , and assume that $\omega \in \Lambda^n(V)$ is a nonzero n -form. We compute the determinant from the following equation

$$\omega(Au_1, \dots, Au_n) = \omega(u_1, \dots, u_n) \det A.$$

A simple expansion of the expression

$$\varphi \left(u_1 + \sum_{j=1}^k u_j p_j(u_1), \dots, u_n + \sum_{j=1}^k u_j p_j(u_n) \right)$$

gives Equation (4).

Let us denote the right hand side of Equation (6) by φ , define $m = \min(n-1, k)$, and assume that $m > 1$, since the $m = 1$ case is trivial. If $x = Ay$ then we have the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = & q(y) + \sum_{a=1}^k q(u_a) p_a(y) + \sum_{j=1}^k (q \wedge p_j)(Ay, u_j) \\ & + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq k} \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right] (Ay, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}) \\ & + \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^k p_l \right) \right] (Ay, u_1, \dots, u_k) \end{aligned}$$

We can expand the third term

$$\sum_{j=1}^k (q \wedge p_j)(Ay, u_j) = q(y) \sum_{j=1}^k p_j(u_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k q(u_j) p_j(y) + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \neq j}}^k p_c(y) (q \wedge p_j)(u_c, u_j)$$

the summand in the fourth term

$$\begin{aligned} \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right] (Ay, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}) &= q(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right] (u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}) + \\ &+ \sum_{c=1}^i (-1)^c p_{j_c}(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq c}}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right] (u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_i\}}}^k p_c(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right] (u_c, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}) \end{aligned}$$

and the fifth term

$$\begin{aligned} \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^k p_l \right) \right] (Ay, u_1, \dots, u_k) &= q(y) \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^k p_l \right) (u_1, \dots, u_k) \\ &+ \sum_{c=1}^k (-1)^c p_{j_c}(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq c}}^k p_{j_l} \right) \right] (u_1, \dots, u_k). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = & q(y) \left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^k p_j(u_j) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) (u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}) + \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^k p_l \right) (u_1, \dots, u_k) \right] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq k} \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_i\}}}^k p_c(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right] (u_c, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}) \\ & + \sum_{i=2}^m \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq k} \sum_{c=1}^i (-1)^c p_{j_c}(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq c}}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right] (u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}). \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = & q(y) \det A \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq k} \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_i\}}}^k p_c(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right] (u_c, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{i+1} \leq k} \sum_{c=1}^{i+1} (-1)^c p_{j_c}(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq c}}^{i+1} p_{j_l} \right) \right] (u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_{i+1}}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For a given $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, we assume that $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq k$ are fixed. Now we check how the term

$$q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) \quad (8)$$

occurs in the previous formula. From the first summation in Equation (7), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_i\}}}^k p_c(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right] (u_c, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}). \quad (9)$$

If in the second summation we have the indices $1 \leq j'_1 < \dots < j'_{i+1} \leq k$, we get the term (8) if

$$(j'_1, \dots, j'_{b-1}, j'_{b+1}, \dots, j'_{i+1}) = (j_1, \dots, j_i)$$

holds for a b index. This b is the extra j' index in the second summation. To get the term (8) we have $c = b$, so the second summation is

$$\sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_i\}}}^k (-1)^b p_{j'_b}(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq b}}^{i+1} p_{j'_l} \right) \right] (u_{j'_1}, \dots, u_b, \dots, u_{j'_{i+1}}).$$

This can be written as

$$- \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_i\}}}^k p_{j_b}(y) \left[q \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) \right] (u_b, u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}). \quad (10)$$

Adding Equations (9,10), we get zero, which means that the summands for all $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ in Equation (7) are zero. This proves that $\varphi = q(y) \det A$, which is the left hand side of the Equation (6). $\square$

We note, that the previous theorem can be proved by induction on k , but the detailed proof has approximately the same length. Now we can state our main result as a simple consequence of the previous theorem.

Corollary 1. *Assume that $B : V \rightarrow V$ is an invertible map and consider the perturbed operator*

$$B' = B + \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \otimes p_i, \quad (11)$$

where $v_i \in V$ and $p_i \in V^*$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let us define $u_i = B^{-1}v_i$ (for all $i = 1, \dots, k$) and

$$A = \text{id}_V + \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \otimes p_i. \quad (12)$$

If $\det A \neq 0$, then we have for the inverse of the perturbed operator

$$(B')^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} B^{-1} + \frac{1}{\det A} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\min(n-1, k)} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq k} \bigwedge_{l=1}^i (u_{j_l}, p_{j_l}) \right) B^{-1}, \quad (13)$$

where $n = \dim V$.

Proof. Since $B' = BA$, we use the formula $(B')^{-1} = A^{-1}B^{-1}$, where A^{-1} is given by Equation (5). $\square$

2 Connection with the Taylor expansion

In applications, we assume that the perturbation

$$B' = B + \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \otimes p_i = B + Q \quad (14)$$

is small in some sense with respect to B . (In our framework there is no norm, so the word *small* has just intuitive meaning here.) If we take into account only m ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) (or a less number of) products of (u_i, p_i) , we get the m -th order approximation of $(B')^{-1}$, that is

$$(B')_m^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det_m A} B^{-1} + \frac{1}{\det_m A} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq k} \bigwedge_{l=1}^i (u_{j_l}, p_{j_l}) \right) B^{-1},$$

where

$$\det_m A = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i, \quad \alpha_i = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq k} \left(\bigwedge_{l=1}^i p_{j_l} \right) (u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_i}) \quad \text{and} \quad u_i = B^{-1}v_i.$$

We have for the zeroth, the first and second order approximation of $(B')^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} (B')_0^{-1} &= B^{-1} \\ (B')_1^{-1} &= B^{-1} - \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_1} B^{-1} Q B^{-1} \\ (B')_2^{-1} &= B^{-1} - \frac{1 + \alpha_1}{1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2} B^{-1} Q B^{-1} + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2} B^{-1} Q B^{-1} Q B^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and in general

$$(B')_m^{-1} = B^{-1} + \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i} \times \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-i} \alpha_j \right) (B^{-1}Q)^i B^{-1}. \quad (15)$$

It is obvious from the construction that $(B')_m^{-1} = (B')^{-1}$ if $m \geq k$.

Since the i -th derivative of the inversion function $\iota(B) = B^{-1}$ is

$$d^i \iota(B)(Q^{[i]}) = (-1)^i i! (B^{-1}Q)^i B^{-1},$$

the m -th order Taylor expansion of ι is

$$T_m(B + Q)^{-1} = B^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i (B^{-1}Q)^i B^{-1}. \quad (16)$$

It means that if we define $\alpha_i = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) then our approximation (Equation (15)) gives back the Taylor expansion. However, these α_i parameters guarantee that our m -th order approximation gives the exact result if $m \geq \text{rank } Q$, while the Taylor expansion gives just an approximation for every m .

Remark 2.1. Assume that g is a metric on V , that is a bilinear symmetric map $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is non-degenerate: for every $0 \neq v \in V$ there exists a vector $u \in V$ such that $g(u, v) \neq 0$. Then for every $v \in V$ we have $g(v, \cdot) \in V^*$, and we can define an isomorphism

$$\tilde{g} : V \rightarrow V^* \quad v \mapsto g(v, \cdot).$$

Assume that $A : V \rightarrow V^*$ is a linear map and define $\tilde{A} = \tilde{g}^{-1}A$ which is a $V \rightarrow V$ linear map. If A is invertible and perturbed to $A' = A + W$, then the above-mentioned Theorem and Corollary gives approximations $(\tilde{A}')_m^{-1}$ for $(\tilde{A}')^{-1}$ and we have the approximations $(\tilde{A}')_m^{-1} \tilde{g}^{-1}$ for $(A')^{-1}$.

Remark 2.2. If $\dim V \in \{2, \dots, 10\}$ and the matrix B is a random matrix, $k \in \{2, \dots, 15\}$ and the vectors $(v_i)_{i=1, \dots, k}$ and covectors $(p_i)_{i=1, \dots, k}$ are random vectors, and V is endowed with the Euclidean metric, then numerical simulations show, that the convergence of the given approximation is faster than the convergence of the Taylor expansion. We conjecture that this numerical observation is true in general settings too.

References

- [1] R. Bhatia, *Matrix analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **169**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1997).